

DELEGATION BUDGET VOTE  
Administrative Building  
UNH Coop Extension Conference Room  
3855 Dartmouth College Highway  
North Haverhill, NH  
June 28th, 2021

**PRESENT:** See attached sign-in sheet and roll call for attendance.

Rep. Sykes called the meeting to order at 10:03 AM.

Rep. Weston called the roll for attendance. Rep. Abel “here”, Rep. Adjutant “here”, Rep. Alliegro “here”, Rep. Almy “here”, Rep. Berezchny “here” (zoom), Rep. Binford “here”, Rep. DePalma “here”(zoom), Rep. Dontonville “here”, Rep. Egan “here”, Rep. Fellows “here”, Rep. Folsom “here”, Rep. Gordon “here”, Rep. Greeson “here”, Rep. Hakken-Phillips “here”, Rep. Ham “here”, Rep. Ladd “here”, Rep. Muirhead not present, Rep. Massimilla “here”, Rep. Murphy “here”, Rep. Nordgren “here” (zoom), Rep. Ruprecht “here”, Rep. Sanborn “here”, Rep. Simon “here”, Rep. Smith “here” ( zoom), Rep. Stavis “here”, Rep. Sykes “here”, Rep. Weston “here”. Twenty-two members are physically present with an additional four (4) participating via zoom a quorum was declared.

Rep. Ruprecht led the Pledge of Allegiance.

**MOTION:** Rep. Stavis moved to appropriate \$48,740,211 for fiscal year 2022 of which \$26,972,066 is to be raised by taxes. Rep. Egan seconded the motion. Rep. Weston called the roll. Rep. Abel “yes”, Rep. Adjutant “yes”, Rep. Alliegro “yes”, Rep. Almy “yes”, Rep. Berezchny “yes”, Rep. Binford “no”, Rep. DePalma “yes”, Rep. Dontonville “yes”, Rep. Egan “yes”, Rep. Fellows “yes”, Rep. Folsom “yes”, Rep. Gordon “yes”, Rep. Greeson “yes”, Rep. Hakken-Phillips “yes”, Rep. Ham “yes”, Rep. Ladd “yes”, Rep. Muirhead not present, Rep. Massimilla “yes”, Rep. Murphy “yes”, Rep. Nordgren “yes”, Rep. Ruprecht “yes”, Rep. Sanborn “yes”, Rep. Simon “yes”, Rep. Smith “yes”, Rep. Stavis “yes”, Rep. Sykes “yes”, Rep. Weston “yes”. With the vote being twenty-five (25) in favor and one (1) in opposition, the motion passes.

**MOTION:** Rep. Hakken-Phillips moved to authorize the Treasurer to borrow Tax Anticipation Loans in an amount up to \$5,000,000. Rep. Ruprecht seconded the motion.

**Discussion:** Treasurer Hill stated that the Delegation had authorized to borrow up to \$6 million last fiscal year but only had to borrow \$750K and that it is always policy to request a higher amount. She stated that the County may not have to borrow this year at all. Rep. Almy added that property taxes for the County are collected in December, and the budget is done in July, so the cash balance is usually gone almost as soon as the budget is completed.

Rep. Weston called the roll. Rep. Abel “yes”, Rep. Adjutant “yes”, Rep. Alliegro “yes”, Rep. Almy “yes”, Rep. Berezhny “yes”, Rep. Binford “yes”, Rep. DePalma “yes”, Rep. Dontonville “yes”, Rep. Egan “yes”, Rep. Fellows “yes”, Rep. Folsom “yes”, Rep. Gordon “yes”, Rep. Greeson “yes”, Rep. Hakken-Phillips “yes”, Rep. Ham “yes”, Rep. Ladd “yes”, Rep. Muirhead not present, Rep. Massimilla “yes”, Rep. Murphy “yes”, Rep. Nordgren “yes”, Rep. Ruprecht “yes”, Rep. Sanborn “yes”, Rep. Simon “yes”, Rep. Smith “yes”, Rep. Stavis “yes”, Rep. Sykes “yes”, Rep. Weston “yes”. With the vote being twenty-six (26) in favor and none in opposition, the motion passes.

**MOTION:** Rep. Fellows moved to contribute \$25,000 from Dispatch Fees for fiscal year 2022 to the Dispatch Capital Reserve Account. Rep. Egan seconded the motion. Rep. Weston called the roll. Rep. Abel “yes”, Rep. Adjutant “yes”, Rep. Alliegro “yes”, Rep. Almy “yes”, Rep. Berezhny “yes”, Rep. Binford “yes”, Rep. DePalma “yes”, Rep. Dontonville “yes”, Rep. Egan “yes”, Rep. Fellows “yes”, Rep. Folsom “yes”, Rep. Gordon “yes”, Rep. Greeson “yes”, Rep. Hakken-Phillips “yes”, Rep. Ham “yes”, Rep. Ladd “yes”, Rep. Muirhead not present, Rep. Massimilla “yes”, Rep. Murphy “yes”, Rep. Nordgren “yes”, Rep. Ruprecht “yes”, Rep. Sanborn “yes”, Rep. Simon “yes”, Rep. Smith “yes”, Rep. Stavis “yes”, Rep. Sykes “yes”, Rep. Weston “yes”. With the vote being twenty-six (26) in favor and none in opposition, the motion passes.

**MOTION:** Rep. Weston moved to expend \$78,800 from the Dispatch Capital Reserve account for equipment for the Dispatch Center. Rep. Egan seconded the motion. Rep. Weston called the roll. Rep. Abel “yes”, Rep. Adjutant “yes”, Rep. Alliegro “yes”, Rep. Almy “yes”, Rep. Berezhny “yes”, Rep. Binford “yes”, Rep. DePalma “yes”, Rep. Dontonville “yes”, Rep. Egan “yes”, Rep. Fellows “yes”, Rep. Folsom “yes”, Rep. Gordon “yes”, Rep. Greeson “yes”, Rep. Hakken-Phillips “yes”, Rep. Ham “yes”, Rep. Ladd “yes”, Rep. Muirhead not present, Rep. Massimilla “yes”, Rep. Murphy “yes”, Rep. Nordgren “yes”, Rep. Ruprecht “yes”, Rep. Sanborn “yes”, Rep. Simon “yes”, Rep. Smith “yes”, Rep. Stavis “yes”, Rep. Sykes “yes”, Rep. Weston “yes”. With the vote being twenty-six (26) in favor and none in opposition, the motion passes.

**MOTION:** Rep. Murphy moved to expend \$109,434 from the Nursing Home Capital Reserve account for equipment at the nursing home. Rep. Stavis seconded the motion. Rep. Weston called the roll. Rep. Abel “yes”, Rep. Adjutant “yes”, Rep. Alliegro “yes”, Rep. Almy “yes”, Rep. Berezhny “yes”, Rep. Binford “yes”, Rep. DePalma “yes”, Rep. Dontonville “yes”, Rep. Egan “yes”, Rep. Fellows “yes”, Rep. Folsom “yes”, Rep. Gordon “yes”, Rep. Greeson “yes”, Rep. Hakken-Phillips “yes”, Rep. Ham “yes”, Rep. Ladd “yes”, Rep. Muirhead not present, Rep. Massimilla “yes”, Rep. Murphy “yes”, Rep. Nordgren “yes”, Rep. Ruprecht “yes”, Rep. Sanborn “yes”, Rep. Simon “yes”, Rep. Smith “yes”, Rep. Stavis “yes”, Rep. Sykes “yes”, Rep. Weston “yes”. With the vote being twenty-six (26) in favor and none in opposition, the motion passes.

**MOTION:** Rep. Almy moved to expend \$196,642 from the Register of Deeds Surcharge account for equipment. Rep. Egan seconded the motion.

**MOTION:** Rep. Greeson moved to **AMEND** the motion to remove \$172K for the Microfilm project and explained that microfiche is outdated and antiquated. Rep. Greeson added that the Register of Deeds is already in the process of making digital backups, which will be much more cost-efficient, and that hard drives are very little money. Rep. Binford seconded the motion.

**Discussion:** Register Monahan explained that her department has been with the same software vendor for many years and that the technology is state-of-the-art. They are looking to have records redundancy in moving to a new server farm, and doing so creates a triple redundancy for records retention and protection. The current microfilm in the Register of Deeds department is diseased and needs to be preserved. Register Monahan stated that the contract is a very good price, and that it is potentially something that ARPA will cover, but she is asking to put \$172K up front for dedicated equipment. As an archival medium (in the title industry, library institutes, other organizations in perpetuity), Microfilm is a dedicated standard and must be maintained for continued use. Rep. Almy asked if the ARPA money is used instead, if the budgeted funds would go back into the surcharge account. Register Monahan explained this was her understanding. Rep. Alliegro stated that the department could spend less than a tenth of this money on something more up-to-date. Rep. Greeson asked which records were on microfiche. Register Monahan explained the project would be for the protection of records for perpetuity and is designed for emergencies. She has saved money in the Surcharge account for this purpose, so her department can search title with a generator and a microfilm reader. Records include everything up to 2021. Rep. Greeson asked what the difference would be between microfiche and a 5TB hard drive, as a hard drive takes up less space, is much cheaper, still interfaces with a computer, multiple hard drives are possible, and they would be portable. Register Monahan explained that digitized images cannot go on a hard drive or flash drive. She has done a great deal of research, and this is the function of the real estate market. The \$172K is an insurance policy of dedicated funds and provides her department with triple redundancy. The contract includes a robust cyber security policy. Commissioner Lauer added that the Register of Deeds surcharge account can only be used for equipment in the Register of Deeds, has no impact on taxes or tax rate, and that this money has already been set aside for these specific purposes. Rep. Alliegro commented that notwithstanding, “a state-of-the-art biplane is still a state-of-the-art biplane” meaning that Microfilm as a medium in-and-of-itself is outdated. Rep. Almy stated that there is no guarantee that digital data is not going to be corrupted, and cited personal experience. The fact is the original state of the records is paper and paper disintegrates over time. All of the homes in the County depend on these records. CA Dorsett added that the project would ensure another level of security, and it would be rare that the department would have to go back to it. Digital records are at risk from many threats, including rarities such as electro-magnetic pulse, nuclear, etc. If for some reason, the software provider was hacked, that could put the County in a tough situation. Many of these records are very historic. Register Monahan also confirmed that the software contract is funded with tax dollars as it is an operating expense.



Rep. Weston called the roll to vote upon Rep. Greeson's just-proposed amendment to the Register of Deeds budget. Rep. Abel "no", Rep. Adjutant "no", Rep. Alliegro "yes", Rep. Almy "no", Rep. Berezchny "yes", Rep. Binford "yes", Rep. DePalma "yes", Rep. Dontonville "no", Rep. Egan "no", Rep. Fellows "no", Rep. Folsom "no", Rep. Gordon "yes", Rep. Greeson "yes", Rep. Hakken-Phillips "no", Rep. Ham "yes", Rep. Ladd "yes", Rep. Muirhead not present, Rep. Massimilla "no", Rep. Murphy "no", Rep. Nordgren "no", Rep. Ruprecht "no", Rep. Sanborn "yes", Rep. Simon "no", Rep. Smith "no", Rep. Stavis "no", Rep. Sykes "no", Rep. Weston "no". With the vote being nine (9) in favor and seventeen (17) in opposition, the motion does not pass.

Rep. Weston called the roll to vote upon the original motion to expend \$196,642 from the Register of Deeds account for equipment. Rep. Abel "yes", Rep. Adjutant "yes", Rep. Alliegro "no", Rep. Almy "yes", Rep. Berezchny "no", Rep. Binford "no", Rep. DePalma "yes", Rep. Dontonville "yes", Rep. Egan "yes", Rep. Fellows "yes", Rep. Folsom "no", Rep. Gordon "no", Rep. Greeson "no", Rep. Hakken-Phillips "yes", Rep. Ham "no", Rep. Ladd "no", Rep. Muirhead not present, Rep. Massimilla "yes", Rep. Murphy "yes", Rep. Nordgren "yes", Rep. Ruprecht "yes", Rep. Sanborn "no", Rep. Simon "yes", Rep. Smith "yes", Rep. Stavis "yes", Rep. Sykes "yes", Rep. Weston "yes". With the vote being seventeen (17) in favor and nine (9) in opposition, the motion passes.

**MOTION:** Pursuant to [NH RSA 24:14](#), Rep. Egan moved to authorize the Grafton County Board of Commissioners to apply for, receive and expend federal and/or state grants and/or other unanticipated funds that become available during the course of FY22, and also to accept and expend funds from any other governmental unit or private source to be used for purposes for which Grafton County may legally appropriate money, and the expenditure of such funds shall be exempt from restrictions on over-expenditures of appropriations. Provided that any American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) funds shall be expended upon approval by the Grafton County Executive Committee. Rep. Almy seconded the motion.

**Discussion:** Rep. Gordon asked to what extent the full Delegation would be involved in the review of ARPA funds. Rep. Sykes explained that he has always felt that the full Delegation at any time can come and participate in the Executive Committee meetings, but that it makes more sense to manage the possible number of meetings with the Executive Committee rather than trying to assemble the full Delegation for each meeting. For those reasons, he feels this is an appropriate way to handle the ARPA funds. Rep. Gordon asked if it was the Board of Commissioners' intent to put together a plan to present so that the Delegation can receive notice to be involved. CA Dorsett explained that he is working with the Commission to develop concepts which will be presented as recommendations and that an opportunity for the Executive Committee will be given so they may provide feedback before execution. Rep. Almy asked if this would be done in one meeting, or several meetings, and could it be held in the UNH Extension Coop Conference Room so everyone can attend if they choose. Rep. Sykes said yes, and noted that he believes it is really important that everyone knows they can attend these public meetings. Rep. Sykes added that he does not think the ARPA discussion will be done in

one meeting and that this is part of the reasoning for the Executive Committee to be watching over the process, rather than calling the full Delegation to assemble during the year. Rep. Gordon stated his concern is that he would like to see the full Delegation involved to help: 1. Establish priorities, 2. Establish how to allocate funds themselves. Rep. Gordon stated his personal concern is for the money to not be all spent on the Grafton County Complex, but that the money should be expended in ways to benefit the whole county. Rep. Sykes agreed and stated he would be hoping that these funds are used for the betterment of the whole county and not just the County Complex. This is why he wants additional oversight, and noted that there is commitment from both the County Administrator and Commissioners to involve the Executive Committee at every step of the process.

**MOTION:** Rep. Greeson moved to **AMEND** the just-stated motion to require a 2/3 majority vote from the Executive Committee of those members present-and-voting to approve any expenditures for projects. Rep. Binford seconded the motion.

**Discussion:** Rep. Stavis asked under what other kinds of conditions is a 2/3 majority vote required under the Executive Committee and Rep. Sykes stated there was nothing he could think of and that this would be setting a new ground rule. This motion would only apply to the planning and allocation of ARPA funds. FD Libby stated that the total allocation of ARPA funds would be \$17.4 million and that the County has received \$8 million to date, with the other half due in May of 2022. Rep. Sykes stated that he is pleased about the possibility of requiring a 2/3 majority vote, although rarely does the Executive Committee have strict party line votes. He explained that he is going to vote against the motion because it sets a precedent he doesn't care to establish. Rep. Sykes stated that he is pleased about the bipartisan efforts in working on the budget this year. He has been chair for 7 years and on the Executive Committee for 9 years. He likes what they are doing and thinks they should continue in that direction. Rep. Stavis asked if the proposed policy would have to be in the RSA to adopt it. Rep. Sykes explained that he thinks not because there are bylaws where any expenditure of \$5K from one department to another must be approved by the Executive Committee, and he feels comfortable they can do this without making it an RSA. Rep. Ladd stated he was concerned about specifically what these funds can be used for, and that if a majority of the Executive Committee is present, a critical decision is potentially being left up to four (4) Representatives. Therefore, he believes the planning and allocation of such a large amount of money should be a whole Delegation issue. Rep. Massimilla suggested a survey could be sent out to the Delegation about possible topics and uses of the ARPA funds, the responses of which could be taken into consideration to formulate priorities for spending.

Rep. Sykes stated this was a possibility, and that in years past, the Commissioners would make this decision without the Executive Committee. Rep. Greeson stated that because this is such a significant amount of money coming in at one time, he would like to see that it's tough to spend too easily by putting a 2/3 majority in place, to make sure the priorities of the entire county are being considered. Rep. Ladd explained that several years ago, he was involved with the process and planning for use of \$30 million for infrastructure. He chaired a Committee at the time that reviewed applications requesting



funds. The application would then filter to Fiscal for support, and then Governor and Council for final approval. There was an established set of checks and balances for these funds. With ARPA, the Executive Committee is going to make the decision. This process does not have a balance, and leaves out a portion of the decision-making process that will have a lasting impact on the Complex and County as a whole. Rep. Ladd stated that they need to be careful making this vote. Rep. Almy stated the following: 1. The County does not get to keep the funds if they don't spend them. While it is not clear what would happen in the event of not spending these funds, usually the Federal Treasury would reabsorb such monies. 2. Rep. Almy likes the motion for a 2/3 majority requirement, because it is a lot of money. This is important for a general buy-in. Rep. Almy explained that when they pass a state budget, any money that the administration has managed to find in grants that doesn't show up in that budget goes only to the Fiscal Committee and Executive Committee. She stated that usually this process is overwhelmed by one party. Next year, she would like to see at least one meeting in Concord as the full Delegation to talk about what kinds of money is going where, so that everyone could express their opinions and feel more linked in.

Rep. Weston called the roll to vote on the 2/3 majority vote requirement of the Executive Committee of those members present-and-voting as proposed by Rep. Greeson. Rep. Abel "no", Rep. Adjutant "no", Rep. Alliegro "yes", Rep. Almy "yes", Rep. Berezhny "yes", Rep. Binford "yes", Rep. DePalma "yes", Rep. Dontonville "no", Rep. Egan "no", Rep. Fellows "no", Rep. Folsom "yes", Rep. Gordon "yes", Rep. Greeson "yes", Rep. Hakken-Phillips "no", Rep. Ham "yes", Rep. Ladd "yes", Rep. Muirhead not present, Rep. Massimilla "yes", Rep. Murphy "no", Rep. Nordgren "no", Rep. Ruprecht "no", Rep. Sanborn "yes", Rep. Simon "yes", Rep. Smith "no", Rep. Stavis "no", Rep. Sykes "no", Rep. Weston "no". With the vote being thirteen (13) in favor and thirteen (13) in opposition, the motion fails.

Rep. Egan stated that since he made the original motion, he thinks it is imperative to keep the Delegation in communication. As ARPA is spent, most of it will be asked by the County Administrator to apply for matching grants, which will require the support of the Delegation. The Executive Committee unanimously voted to flat fund this budget for the first time in years. These two things mean a lot to how the Executive Committee is working together. Rep. Gordon agreed that he feels strongly about the Committee and will do everything possible to involve everyone. Rep. Fellows stated that the public hearing is really important, and not just the Delegation. Outside agencies ask for funding in the budget, and this may be an appropriate way to spend funds especially as funds will likely go to multiple projects. Rep. Sykes stated that it was clear to him and the Executive Committee that they want to be involved with planning and appropriation, and that this was an important discussion and he appreciated how it turned out. Rep. Egan stated that to clarify, in the past, the Delegation and Executive Committee were never involved in federal funds given to the County. This process would allow oversight, and is a first.

Rep. Weston called the roll to vote upon the previous motion granting authorization to the Grafton County Board of Commissioners to apply for, receive and expend federal and/or state grants and/or other unanticipated funds that become available during the

course of FY22. Rep. Abel “yes”, Rep. Adjutant “yes”, Rep. Alliegro “yes”, Rep. Almy “yes”, Rep. Berezchny “yes”, Rep. Binford “yes”, Rep. DePalma “yes”, Rep. Dontonville “yes”, Rep. Egan “yes”, Rep. Fellows “yes”, Rep. Folsom “yes”, Rep. Gordon “yes”, Rep. Greeson “yes”, Rep. Hakken-Phillips “yes”, Rep. Ham “yes”, Rep. Ladd “yes”, Rep. Muirhead not present, Rep. Massimilla “yes”, Rep. Murphy “yes”, Rep. Nordgren “yes”, Rep. Ruprecht “yes”, Rep. Sanborn “yes”, Rep. Simon “yes”, Rep. Smith “yes”, Rep. Stavis “yes”, Rep. Sykes “yes”, Rep. Weston “yes”. With the vote being twenty-six (26) in favor and none in opposition, the motion passes.

**MOTION:** Rep. Ruprecht moved to appropriate \$1,976 for the Grafton County Unincorporated Place of Livermore for FY 2022. The Unincorporated place of Livermore’s Budget for 2022 is adopted separately from the Grafton County Budget. This motion is to comply with the NH Department of Revenue Administration’s instructions. Rep. Egan seconded the motion.

**Discussion:** Rep. Almy asked who currently adopts the Livermore budget. Rep. Sykes explained that because Livermore is an incorporated place, the responsibility falls to the Delegation. Rep. Almy asked if Livermore had ever not had a budget. FD Libby explained that their budget was minimal, although it increased in the last couple years because of a contract with the Conway Fire Department who deals with accidents on the Kancamagus Highway. Livermore has a negative tax rate. This motion adds a clarifying step as the Commissioners sign off on MS forms. Rep. Abel asked for further clarification on where the funds are coming from. FD Libby explained that Livermore receives a payment in lieu of tax monies, and that no one lives in Livermore. There are some land owners, but Livermore is mostly comprised of National Forest lands. The payments coming out are for the Conway Fire Department for responding to accidents on the Kancamagus Highway. Taxes are paid to Grafton County, who is taking over the financial administration. Coos County handled this in the past, and Grafton County paid them a fee, so they are transitioning at least half of the responsibilities over. There is some money to pay to the Coos County tax collector for the other half. The County is not appropriating money, Grafton County is only acting as agent as there is no acting government for Livermore. Rep. Ladd stated that this is occurring more often in other Counties, and that there is a fund in the state to pay for those costs without putting the responsibility on the County. Rep. Ham stated that she is the State Representative for Livermore, and that there is only one private property. She thinks it’s good to have the contract with Conway Fire Department as every once in a while, there is an accident or forest fire. There is no business to conduct in Livermore, just property tax on that one property.

Rep. Weston called the roll. Rep. Abel “yes”, Rep. Adjutant “yes”, Rep. Alliegro “yes”, Rep. Almy “yes”, Rep. Berezchny “yes”, Rep. Binford “yes”, Rep. DePalma “yes”, Rep. Dontonville “yes”, Rep. Egan “yes”, Rep. Fellows “yes”, Rep. Folsom “yes”, Rep. Gordon “yes”, Rep. Greeson “yes”, Rep. Hakken-Phillips “yes”, Rep. Ham “yes”, Rep. Ladd “yes”, Rep. Muirhead not present, Rep. Massimilla “yes”, Rep. Murphy “yes”, Rep. Nordgren “yes”, Rep. Ruprecht “yes”, Rep. Sanborn “yes”, Rep. Simon “yes”, Rep.




Smith “yes”, Rep. Stavis “yes”, Rep. Sykes “yes”, Rep. Weston “yes”. With the vote being twenty-six (26) in favor and none in opposition, the motion passes.

### CLOSING REMARKS

Rep. Gordon stated that they can be pleased with the budget itself and the fact that it doesn't raise any more taxes than we have in the past. There are relatively small increases, including a 2% raise to employees. He congratulated Rep. Sykes on a great job, and stated his appreciation that the process has been done in a manner that has not been partisan. Rep. Sykes stated that in order to achieve this, it took someone else to work with and that Rep. Gordon was that partner in many ways. Rep. Gordon reported that the Republicans met in caucus that morning to talk about County-related issues that might be the subject of doing some committee work. In the next year, he hopes to be able to appoint some committees to do certain activities in order to get other Delegation members involved. Rep. Sykes stated that he would like to see some committee reports at Executive Committee meetings. Rep. Stavis stated that what she took away from the conversation about the Executive Committee and ARPA is the need for County-wide communication, and that she would like to volunteer herself and ask Rep. Egan as well, because they have been working on communication issues more broadly. The conversation about ARPA funds goes further behind the vote to the priority setting process. Rep. Egan stated he would be glad to help; he likes the idea of a survey for resources and to communicate with the County Administrator about priorities. He wants to get the Delegation's input and support. Rep. Almy stated that she was on the committee that did the bylaws, and remembered that they worked through the summer when there was more time to consider things. She believes it would be important to try to put this committee together before or during July. Rep. Ladd echoed that this had been a very productive time. There are a number of issues they have talked about that really impact the budget. A committee put together back then was very productive and they came back with recommendations. Rep. Ladd encourages sub committees, and encourages the Executive Committee to get these “hot button issues” addressed in those sub committees. Rep. Sykes stated that there is no reason a member of the Delegation can't be involved with a committee, and that they should continue in these efforts.

At 11:30 AM, with no further business, the meeting adjourned.



Joyce Weston, Clerk





# Delegation Vote On FY22 Budget

## June 2021

### Sign In Sheet ~ **PLEASE PRINT**

- 1 Jim Murphy
- 2 George Sykes
- 3 MARYA HAKKEN-PHILLIPS
- 4 Susan Army
- 5 LAUREL STARK
- 6 Sallie Hollors
- 7 Timothy EGAN
- 8 Mark Alliege
- 9 Gail Santorn
- 10 Beth A. Folsom
- 11 Matthew Simon
- 12 Harold W. Wapwell
- 13 Donny Ruprecht
- 14 Richard Abel
- 15 Jayce Weston
- 16 Joshua Adjutant
- 17 Karen Lot Hill
- 18 Rick Ludal
- 19 [Signature]
- 20 [Signature]
- 21 [Signature] Jeffrey Coreason
- 22 Jessica Jackson
- 23 Jeff Stiegler
- 24 Tom Andross
- 25 Marcie Hornick
- 26 Kelley Monahan
- 27 Karen Clough
- 28 Andrew Dorsett
- 29 Omer Athern
- 30 Wendy Piper

- 31 Linda Lauer
- 32 Chris Kendall
- 33 Alison Evans
- 34 Craig Labore
- 35 Donna Lee
- 36 Julie Libby
- 37
- 38
- 39
- 40
- 41
- 42
- 43
- 44
- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60